

Stream Quality Monitoring 2012 Annual Report

Upper Cuyahoga State Scenic River

Contents

Introduction	1
Overview	2
Stream Quality Monitoring Station Map	3
2012 Stream Quality Monitoring Participants	4
Station Descriptions	5
Sampling Results and General Trends	7
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	8
Comparisons of Collected Stream Quality Monitoring Data.....	9
Table 1 - Macroinvertebrate Pollution Tolerance	9
Table 2 - 2012 Mean CIVs by Reference Station.....	9
Figure 1 - 2012 CIV Ranges by Reference Station.....	10
Figure 2 - 2003-2012 Mean CIVs by Reference Station	10
Table 3 - Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index	11
Appendix - 2012 Stream Quality Monitoring Data by Station	

Introduction

Ohio Scenic Rivers Program

With more than 60,000 miles of streams, Ohio is a water-rich state. Many of Ohio's streams support thriving plant and animal communities, including Ohio's state designated scenic rivers. Administered by the Ohio Division of Watercraft, the Ohio Scenic Rivers Program oversees 14 state designated scenic river systems, comprising 800 river miles along 26 stream segments. These streams represent some of the best of Ohio's waterways.



Stream Quality Monitoring Project

Developed in 1983, the Ohio Stream Quality Monitoring (SQM) Project uses volunteers who assist in aquatic macroinvertebrate monitoring to compile biological and water quality data on the state's scenic rivers. The Ohio SQM Project is an excellent, simple, and cost-effective method of assessing a stream's health.

Aquatic macroinvertebrate organisms lack a backbone (invertebrate), are large enough to view with the naked eye (macro), and spend at least a portion of their lives in the water (aquatic). Macroinvertebrates, such as various aquatic insects (e.g. mayfly, stonefly), are good indicators stream health. When negative impacts to a stream occur, the result may show a decline or absence of certain macroinvertebrate species.

Through consistent monitoring in the SQM Project, changes observed in the macroinvertebrate community help the Ohio Scenic Rivers Program in detecting and addressing potential impacts to a stream. The Ohio Scenic Rivers Program compiles volunteer field assessment information into a statewide database. The database serves as a tool to track short- and long-term changes and trends over time.

SQM Project Relies on Volunteers

Coordinated by the Division of Watercraft's Scenic Rivers Program, the Ohio SQM Project provides opportunities for public participation in scenic river protection efforts. Many local, youth and conservation organizations, individuals, and families are committed to monitoring more than 150 stations along Ohio's scenic rivers.

SQM volunteers collect macroinvertebrate data from selected monitoring stations, also referred to as monitoring sites or reference stations, three times during the monitoring season. Volunteers complete field assessment forms that document taxonomy, tolerance, and abundance of collected organisms.

SQM Annual Report

The information collected by volunteers has become a critical tool for documenting the health of Ohio's state scenic, wild, and recreational rivers. This report is a compilation of field data collected during 2012 by volunteers and staff. It also represents a year of dedication and commitment shown to Ohio's special waterways by thousands of SQM volunteers.

Upper Cuyahoga State Scenic River Overview


On June 26, 1974, the Upper Cuyahoga was designated a state scenic river by the Ohio Department of Natural Resources, beginning at the Troy-Burton Township line in Geauga County and continuing downstream to State Route 14 in Portage County. The Illinoian and Wisconsinan glaciers shaped the topography of the Upper Cuyahoga watershed more than 13,000 years ago. Glacial tills, deposited by receding glaciers in preglacial valleys, provide a plentiful source of groundwater throughout the region. It is this abundant groundwater that helps to sustain the flow and quality of the Upper Cuyahoga during dry weather conditions.


The Upper Cuyahoga is a river with two distinct segments. Near the start of the designation, the river is rather straight and narrow, having been affected by a channelization project that was started and then quickly abandoned near the turn of the 20th century. This gives way to a rather undisturbed natural river channel meandering through virtual wilderness. An extensive network of high quality wetlands throughout this section of the river provides excellent habitat for the diverse plant and animal life inhabiting the region. Water quality throughout this stretch of the river is typically excellent, except in those regions where low stream gradients contribute to depressing dissolved oxygen levels.

Below the Hiram Rapids, the character of the river changes as it meanders through moderate to steep hillsides blanketed in beech-maple forests, oak, ash and hickory. Wetlands are also common below Hiram and contribute to the unique character and high quality of the river. Here the Division of Watercraft and the Scenic Rivers Program protect several wetlands including Mantua Bog and the Charles Tummonds Scenic River Preserve.

Aquatic biodiversity in the Upper Cuyahoga River is excellent, with numerous pollution intolerant macroinvertebrates. More than 50 species of fish and many mammals, birds, reptiles, and amphibians are present. Due to its constant flow and gentle currents, the Upper Cuyahoga River is a popular stream in northeast Ohio for fishing and canoeing. Two canoe liveries operate on the river and numerous public and private access sites provide ample opportunities for the public to enjoy the river.

For additional information about the Upper Cuyahoga State Scenic River, including conservation options for landowners and ways to participate in the preservation of this important resource, contact the Northeast Ohio Regional Scenic River Manager at 330-872-0040 or visit watercraft.ohiodnr.gov online for more information.





2012 Stream Quality Monitoring Participants

Whether their contribution was a one-time event or a recurring adventure in stream exploration, the individuals and organizations listed below played a significant role in protecting the Upper Cuyahoga River. Their time and dedication to this river and the Ohio SQM Project is greatly appreciated. Special thanks to the Upper Cuyahoga Scenic River Advisory Council for their continued support and assistance.

River Mile 64.20 - State Route 303 Bridge

Rick Chiera

River Mile 65.30 - Coit Road Bridge

Katie Morsefield and Family

Jaime Zack

River Mile 69.90-- Mantua Village Park

Don and Kathie Havener

Lee Graf and Sons

Jaime Zack

River Mile 74.60 - Camp Hi Canoe Livery, Abbott Road (*non-reference station*)

Volunteers Needed

River Mile 75.80 - Hiram Rapids, Winchell Bridge (*non-reference station*)

Volunteers Needed

The continued success of the Ohio SQM Project depends on the commitment and dedication of these (and other) volunteers and participants. If you would like to become a volunteer, please contact the Northeast Ohio SQM Coordinator at 330-872-0040 or visit online for more information at watercraft.ohiodnr.gov/SQM.

Station Descriptions

Stream Quality Monitoring (SQM) stations along the Upper Cuyahoga River have been selected based upon their ease of access, macroinvertebrate habitat and adequate sampling areas. Where possible, sampling stations are located on public property or where public access to the riffle areas is convenient and safe. The following are brief summaries of the SQM stations located on the Upper Cuyahoga River.

River Mile 64.20 - State Route 303 Bridge, Shalersville

The sampling area at this station is located approximately 100 yards downstream from the State Route 303 Bridge. Access to the river is not difficult; however, the bank is rather narrow. This site is a particularly important monitoring station on the Upper Cuyahoga due to the proximity of a large stormwater drain and wastewater treatment plant upstream. Long-term data collected at this site is helpful in determining what, if any, impact these two outfalls have on water quality within the area.

The streambed is comprised largely of cobblestones and gravel, with occasional boulders. The habitat is quite good and contributes to the wide variety of organisms usually collected here.

River Mile 65.30 - Coit Road Bridge

Access to this sampling station is down a rather steep path leading to the river. The site is a popular fishing area and is used heavily by the public despite the challenging terrain.

Although the streambed is largely composed of road asphalt rubble, the site also contains cobblestones and gravel. Habitat in the area is very good as reflected by the large number of mayfly nymphs and dobsonfly larvae that routinely are collected at this site.

River Mile 69.90 - Mantua Village Park

The riffle area at this site is located slightly downstream of the parking lot at Mantua Village Park in Mantua. This location became a reference site in 2003 replacing River Mile 71.70 at the Pioneer Trail Bridge.

The streambed is composed of a relatively equal mixture of cobblestones and gravel where the sampler can find a diverse macroinvertebrate population. There is ample parking with easy access to the river.

River Mile 74.6 - Camp Hi Canoe Livery, Abbott Road (*non-reference station*)

To reach this site, follow the small trail leading from the canoe livery lot to the launching area at the river. A small low-head dam can be seen immediately downstream. The sampling area is below the face of the dam. Easy access to the river makes this particular site suitable for introducing small groups to stream quality monitoring.

Large amounts of boulders and cobblestones, and a sandy river bottom combined with a steady current flowing over the dam result in a high-quality macroinvertebrate habitat. Macroinvertebrate diversity at this site is typically good to excellent with a wide variety of organisms represented. No samples were taken at this station during the 2012 sampling season.

River Mile 75.8 - Hiram Rapids, Winchell Bridge (*non-reference station*)

This site is being monitored to determine what effect increasing development in this small village might have on water quality. The riffle area is approximately 20 yards downstream from the bridge. Parking is limited to the side of the road and permission must be obtained before crossing private property to access the sampling site.

The majority of the substrate in the sampling area consists of cobblestones and gravel. Sampling results are consistently excellent with nearly all species represented. Although pollution-intolerant taxa in Group I are represented here, the majority of organisms collected are Group II taxa, which have a moderate tolerance to pollution.

Sampling Results and General Trends

According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 2012 was Ohio's warmest year on record. The drought in parts of Northern Ohio was the worst since 1988. Temperatures in June and July were well above normal with monthly temperatures in July averaging 4 to 5 degrees above normal. High temperatures reached 90 or above on dozens of days. The lack of rain compounded the summer stress. Rainfall was below normal in most areas from April through July. Increased rainfall amounts in September and October helped the rivers to recover most of the deficit. Despite excessive drought conditions throughout the year, the macroinvertebrate populations proved their resiliency. Cumulative Index Values (CIVs) for the sampling season were consistent with those in recent years. Overall, the 2012 sampling results from the Upper Cuyahoga indicate an excellent diversity of aquatic insects, especially the pollution-sensitive species.

Volunteers and ODNR staff conducted a total of 12 assessments at 3 official monitoring sites in the Upper Cuyahoga River during 2012. The Upper Cuyahoga River recorded an average CIV of 25.5. The 2012 value is slightly higher from the 2011 average of 24.6, falling well within the excellent range for water quality. The average taxonomic diversity per assessment was 10 macroinvertebrate orders (e.g. stonefly, damselfly, mayfly, etc.).

Generally, the Upper Cuyahoga River continues to sustain a very diverse population of macroinvertebrates. The relatively low gradient and abundance of wetlands surrounding this portion of the river moderates water velocity during and after heavy rain or drought events. Lower water velocity minimizes the tumbling of cobblestones and gravel on the river bottom and limits the number of displaced aquatic insects. This factor alone is why monitoring results are usually predictable and consistent during the sampling season.

Data collected by SQM Project volunteers and ODNR staff is used as a water quality-screening method. The data helps to detect significant changes in stream quality based on CIV data from sites monitored for many years. If there is a significant decline in the average CIV, potential problems that may be causing stream degradation can be investigated and addressed.

The staff of the Ohio Scenic Rivers Program appreciates the assistance we received from our dedicated volunteer monitors. It is only through their efforts that it was possible to complete the SQM samples in the Upper Cuyahoga River Watershed during 2012. Working together has produced significant results but more help is always needed. For more information, please contact the Northeast Ohio SQM Coordinator at 330-872-0040 or visit online at watercraft.ohiodnr.gov/SQM.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS)

In 1999, the Scenic River Program added Total Suspended Solids (TSS) monitoring to the Stream Quality Monitoring (SQM) Project. The purpose of this addition is to estimate the amount of soil sediments impacting a stream by estimating the turbidity of the water. These sediments are attributed to problems originating upstream of the sampling site. The equipment is calibrated to predict TSS at 90% accuracy. The measurements are accurate enough to determine the changes in sediment rates in a stream at a given location and time. Variables such as amount of precipitation, slope and gradient of the river system, soil type, time of year data is collected, amount of development, amount of riparian corridor, velocity of the river flow and the amount of waste water effluent have an effect on the TSS value.

Precipitation amount is important because of the increased potential for sediments to be carried into the river during a rain event. The TSS value may appear higher than normal if precipitation amounts are not taken into account. Since large rain events usually happen in the spring and early summer, the time of year the samples are taken could affect the TSS score. The gradient of the stream is important as well. Sediments do not settle out as easily in high gradient streams because the velocity of the water washes it downstream. In low gradient streams, sediment has a chance to settle out, resulting in a lower TSS value. Soil types impact TSS values because some soil types erode faster than others. A better understanding of the types of soils within the watershed may give way to a better understanding of the baseline TSS values for a stream.

Development in an area can cause changes in the TSS score. Areas cleared for new buildings are often not covered, causing an acute rise in the amount of suspended solids in nearby streams. Impermeable surfaces can also cause chronic elevation of TSS values because there is no buffer to absorb or trap runoff. Wastewater treatment plant effluent would only affect TSS scores in low flow situations, and only if the plant employs only primary or secondary treatment.

The actual process of taking a sample is simple. Using a clear Lucite sediment stick developed by the Lake Soil and Water Conservation District, a water sample is collected from the stream. Keeping the sample materials suspended, water is then poured out of the tube until the 0.4-inch target dot is visible on the tube bottom. A reading of the water column height is taken from the markings on the stick to the nearest $\frac{1}{4}$ inch. A conversion table is then used to convert the sediment stick reading to a TSS measurement in the form of an estimate of the weight of solids suspended in the water column (mg/l).

The TSS measurement can be used to estimate water quality with the following scale:

- TSS <10 mg/l = excellent water quality
- TSS 10-28 mg/l = normal water quality
- TSS 29-133 mg/l = impaired water quality
- TSS >133 mg/l = severely impacted water quality

2012 TSS Results: A total of 12 TSS readings were taken in the Upper Cuyahoga River. The median was 8.0 mg/L of TSS, which corresponds to the excellent range. The data set ranged from <6.2 mg/L to 27.0 mg/L of total suspended solids.

Comparisons of Collected Stream Quality Monitoring Data

Frequent monitoring of the same reference station is performed a minimum of three times per year, consistently year after year. An assessment of the diversity and tolerance levels of taxonomy collected generates the Cumulative Index Value (CIV) for the site on a given date. Field assessment results are used as basic indicators of long-term changes in a stream's macroinvertebrate community and help the Scenic River's staff identify pronounced stream quality problems.

Table 1 identifies the 20 macroinvertebrates assessed and their general tolerance to pollutants. Pollution-intolerant organisms, such as those listed in Group I, require unpolluted, high quality water in order to survive. Pollution-tolerant organisms, such as those listed in Group III, are extremely tolerant of deteriorated water conditions.

Table 1. Macroinvertebrate Pollution Tolerance

Group I Taxa Pollution Intolerant	Group II Taxa Moderately Tolerant	Group III Taxa Pollution Tolerant
Water Penny Beetle Larvae (WP) Mayfly Nymphs (MF) Stonefly Nymphs (ST) Dobsonfly Larvae (DO) Caddisfly Larvae (CD) Riffle Beetle Adult (RI) Other Snails (OS)	Damselfly Nymphs (DA) Dragonfly Nymphs (DR) Crane Fly Larvae (CR) Beetle Larvae (BL) Crayfish (CF) Scuds (SC) Clams (CL) Aquatic Sowbugs (SW)	Black Fly Larvae (BF) Aquatic Worms (AW) Midge Larvae (MI) Pouch Snails (PS) Leeches (LE)

Table 2 represents the mean CIV for each SQM reference station sampled on the river during 2012. In addition, the table uses symbols (◆) to indicate those macroinvertebrates found to be present at least once during the year at the respective reference station. Each macroinvertebrate is identified by a two-letter code given in Table 1. CIVs of 23 or greater indicate *Excellent* stream quality; CIVs of 17-22 indicate *Good* stream quality; CIVs ranging from 11-16 suggest *Fair* stream quality; and CIVs of 10 or less reflect *Poor* stream quality. Situated beside the CIVs are the symbols + (improved), = (equal), or – (declined) indicating the relationship to the previous years CIVs.

For the full range of CIVs attained at all sites monitored during the year including non-reference stations, please see the *Appendix*.

Table 2. Upper Cuyahoga River 2012 Mean CIVs by Reference Station

Station	W P	M F	S T	D O	C D	R I	O S	D A	D R	C R	B L	C F	S C	C L	S W	B F	A W	M I	P S	L E	CIV
64.2	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆			◆	◆		◆		◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	23=
65.3	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆			◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		22-
69.9	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆	◆		32+

Figure 1 represents the maximum and minimum range of CIVs recorded during the year for each reference station. Figure 2 represents mean CIVs at each reference station over multiple years.

Figure 1. Upper Cuyahoga River 2012 Maximum and Minimum CIV Ranges





Figure 2. Upper Cuyahoga River 2003-2012 Mean CIVs



Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI)

The Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) is a system developed and employed by the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) to measure physical habitat conditions in and around rivers and streams in Ohio. During 2008, SQM staff conducted the QHEI to gather measurements at reference stations on several of Ohio's scenic rivers. It is anticipated that such measurements will become yet another annual tool that will be used to monitor habitat and water quality conditions on all Ohio scenic rivers.

Habitat conditions are re-evaluated every five years. SQM staff and volunteers are scheduled to perform evaluations next in 2013. Until then, results from the 2008 Citizen's QHEI are included below. When attempting to interpret this data, it is important to recognize that OEPA generally concludes that any site receiving a QHEI value greater than 60 meets current warm water habitat (WWH) standards. Meeting WWH standards suggests that such locations should be adequate for supporting reproducing communities of fish and macroinvertebrate life. Sites attaining QHEI scores of greater than 80 are generally believed to contain exceptional habitat conditions for warm water communities.

The following table has been prepared to assist with determining the relationship between habitat conditions, measured by the QHEI, and macroinvertebrate community performance, measured by the Cumulative Index Value, at each of the reference stations on selected rivers.

Table 3. Upper Cuyahoga Scenic River 2008 QHEI & SQM Assessment Data

Reference Station	QHEI	2008 Average CIV	SQM Assessment
RM 64.20	84	26	Excellent
RM 65.30	85	27	Excellent
RM 69.90	80	28	Excellent

Appendix

2012 Data by Monitoring Station

Upper Cuyahoga River																						
RM	DATE	W P	M F	S T	D O	C D	R I	O S	D A	D R	C R	B L	C F	S C	C L	S W	B F	A W	M I	P S	L E	CIV
64.2	6/28/12	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A			A	A		A		A		A			31
64.2	8/30/12	B	A			B			A			A			A		A	A	A	A		19
64.2	10/22/12	B	B	A		B			A			A						A				17
64.2	10/24/12	A	B			B	A	A	A				A		A			A	A		A	24
65.3	6/10/12		B	A	A	A	A	A	A			B		A	A		C	B		A		29
65.3	8/18/12		A		A	A		A				A	A					A				17
65.3	9/30/12		A		A	A	A		A			A			A							18
65.3	10/15/12	A	A		A	B	A				A		A		A			A	A			23
69.9	6/10/12	A	A	A	A		A	A	A	A			A	A		A	C	A	A			33
69.9	6/17/12	A	B	B	A	A	A	B		A			A	B	A	B	A			B		34
69.9	7/31/12	B	B	A	A	A	B		A				A	B	B	B	B			A		31
69.9	8/26/12	A	A	A	A	A	A	A		A				A	A	A			A		A	31